

**New Issue: Moody's assigns MIG 1 rating to the City of Northampton's (MA) \$2.1 million G.O. Bond Anticipation Notes**

---

Global Credit Research - 25 Jan 2013

**Long-term Aa2 rating is affirmed**

NORTHAMPTON (CITY OF) MA  
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)  
MA

**Moody's Rating**

| <b>ISSUE</b>                                      | <b>RATING</b> |
|---|---------------|
| General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes        | MIG 1         |
| <b>Sale Amount</b> \$2,125,000                    |               |
| <b>Expected Sale Date</b> 01/29/13                |               |
| <b>Rating Description</b> Note: Bond Anticipation |               |

**Moody's Outlook** NOO

**Opinion**

NEW YORK, January 25, 2013 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a MIG 1 rating to the City of Northampton's (MA) \$2.1 million General Obligation Bond Anticipation Notes (BANs, dated February 8, 2013 and due February 7, 2014). Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the long-term Aa2 rating assigned to \$58.1 million of outstanding general obligation bonds.

**SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE**

The notes are secured by the city's general obligation limited tax pledge as debt service is subject to levy limits of Proposition 2 ½. Note proceeds in the amount of \$800,000 will renew a like amount of notes due February 8, 2013 issued to finance a DPW facility planning. The remaining proceeds in the amount of \$1.2 million will finance the Fields Recreational Development Project and the remaining \$125,000 will finance a school parking lot resurface project.

The MIG 1 rating incorporates the city's demonstrated history of access to the capital markets as well as its satisfactory long-term credit characteristics. The Aa2 long-term rating reflects the city's sizeable and diverse tax base stabilized by institutional presence, narrow financial position with limited flexibility, average wealth levels and manageable debt position.

**STRENGTHS**

- Demonstrated market access for BANs
- Diverse tax base
- Recent increases to stabilization fund balance

**CHALLENGES**

- Slim financial position
- Limited financial flexibility
- State aid declines

## DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

### HISTORY OF SATISFACTORY MARKET ACCESS

Northampton has demonstrated adequate access to the capital markets. The city's most recent BAN sale dated February 10, 2012 received five bids. In addition the bond sale dated February 9, 2012 received nine bids and previously other BAN sales dated April 21, 2011 and December 9, 2011 received nine and two bids, respectively. All bids were received from major regional and national financial institutions. Moody's believes the city's history of satisfactory market access and long-term credit strength will permit adequate market access to refund the notes, if necessary, at the February 2014 maturity.

### FINANCIAL POSITION MARKED BY SLIM RESERVES

Moody's believes that Northampton's financial strength will remain challenged in the medium term. In fiscal 2010, in response to state aid declines, the city passed an override of Proposition 2 ½ which produced an additional \$2 million in revenue. In addition, the city established a local option hotel and meals tax which added an additional \$390,000 in annual revenue. As a result, the city ended fiscal 2010 with its first operating surplus of \$1.3 million in four years. However, in fiscal 2011 budget pressures reverted operations back into a slight deficit of \$150,000. The increased revenues from hotel and meal taxes (up 41% and 18%, respectively) as well as additional tax title collections of \$155,000, were offset by increased expenditures, primarily from snow and ice, \$216,000; converting payroll to full accrual basis, \$200,000; and an increase in public safety cost due to new full-time ambulance service. The city's free cash certification increased to \$1.1 million and the total fund balance fell only slightly to \$4.1 million (5% of revenues). In addition, the unassigned fund balance at the end of fiscal 2011 was \$2.1 million which includes the stabilization fund balance of \$687,000.

The 2012 unaudited draft financials reflect a larger operating surplus of \$2.9 million attributed to an increase in local revenue receipts, \$268,000 of additional unplanned state aid and \$275,000 in tax title collections, as well as continued efforts to conservatively manage the city's expenditures. The total General Fund balance increased to \$6.99 million (8.1% of revenues) and the unassigned fund balance increased to \$4.45 million (5.2% of revenues). The increase marks and improvement but still well below the median reserve levels for the current rating category.

The 2013 budget increased by 3% and is balanced with a 5% tax levy increase including debt exclusions and a \$896,000 free cash appropriation for capital expenditures. The city has also appropriated \$500,000 of free cash into its capital stabilization fund. The year-to-date budget to actual is trending positive with revenues from building permits, motor vehicles and licenses and permits all ahead of projections. The city's primary revenue source is property taxes (49.9% of 2011 revenues) and intergovernmental (18.4%) with collection rates during the 2011 fiscal year improving to 96%. Revenue flexibility will continue to pressure annual budget growth as the city has relatively no unused levy capacity.

The city contributes to the Northampton Contributory Retirement System, a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan. The plan was 61% funded as of January 1, 2012. The city is required to fully fund its Annual Required Contribution (ARC), which was \$3.9 million in 2011, representing 4.8% of expenditures. The plan assumes a 7.75% rate of return on investments and should the rate be adjusted downward in the next actuarial valuation, the city's annual contribution and its Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) of \$51.8 million could increase significantly. Also, the city currently contributes to its OPEB liability on a pay-as-you-go basis. The city contributed 51% of its annual OPEB cost in fiscal 2011, representing \$3.3 million. The total UAAL for OPEB is \$84.1 million as of July 1, 2009. The city's total fixed costs for 2011, including pension, OPEB and debt service, represented \$12.4 million or 15% of expenditures.

Going forward, review of the city's credit strength will heavily weigh its progress towards improving and maintaining balanced operations and replenishing reserves to levels equivalent to similarly-rated communities.

### DIVERSE TAX BASE REMAINS STABLE

Moody's expects the city's \$3.3 billion tax base to remain stable with slow growth reflecting continued economic weakness and despite modest improvement in the residential real estate market. Positively, the city continues to represent the region as a cultural and academic center given the presence of Smith College (rated Aa1/Stable), a private liberal arts college for women and the city's largest taxpayer, comprising 1.1% of 2012 assessed valuation. The 2013 equalized value declined by 1.6% bringing the five-year average annual growth to 2% (2008-2013). Commercial property (14% of 2013 assessed value) development continues to improve, benefiting from the Village Hill project and the King street commercial corridor. In addition, the Cooley Dickinson Hospital recently expanded

its surgical center and is finalizing its affiliation agreement with Massachusetts General Hospital (rated Aa2/stable outlook). Also, the city's resident student population depresses its socioeconomic indices, with per capita income (\$58,311) and median family income (\$72,355) at 92.6% and 89.1% of state average, but still above average for the U.S. at 115% and 114.9%, respectively. The city's unemployment rate of 4.6% as of October 2012 was well below the state and nation at 6.2% and 7.5%, respectively.

#### DEBT POSITION BENEFITS FROM DEBT EXCLUSIONS AND COMMONWEALTH SCHOOL BUILDING GRANTS

Moody's anticipates the city's net direct debt burden (1.6% of equalized value) will remain affordable, given significant state school building aid and expected continued support of debt exclusions and self-support of enterprise funds. Once adjusted for 70% state school construction grants, the city's adjusted debt burden drops to 1.1% with fiscal 2011 debt service representing a moderate 6.2% of expenditures. In addition, approximately 43% of the outstanding G.O. bonds have been excluded from the levy limitations of Proposition 2 ½. The amortization of existing principal is average with 74.7% retired within ten years. Future borrowing plans include \$4 million in authorized but unissued debt plus a DPW facility project with an estimated project cost of \$10 to \$16 million to be funded by an equal split between the General Fund and the self-supporting enterprise funds. The city's debt profile consists entirely of fixed-rate borrowing and is not subject to any derivative agreements.

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Significantly improved financial position with a trend of structurally balanced operations
- Improvement in the city's demographic profile
- Sizeable fund balance growth

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- A decline in reserves or liquidity position during fiscal 2013
- Failure to grow reserves consistent with the current rating category
- Decrease in tax base

#### KEY FACTS:

Equalized Valuation 2013: \$3.3 billion

2010 Population (US Census): 28,549 (-1.5% since 2000)

Average Annual Increase in Equalized Valuation (2008-2013): 2.0%

Per Capita Income: \$58,311 (92.6% of state, 115% of US median)

Median Family Income: \$72,355 (89.1% of state, 114.9% of US median)

Unemployment (October 2012): 4.6% (6.2% of state, 7.5% of US median)

FY11 Total General Fund Balance: \$4.1 million (5% of General Fund revenues)

FY11 Unassigned Fund Balance: \$2.1 million (2.6% of General Fund revenues)

FY12 unaudited Total General Fund Balance: \$6.99 million (8.1% of General Fund revenues)

FY12 unaudited Unassigned Fund Balance: \$4.45 million (5.2% of General Fund revenues)

Net Direct Debt as % of Equalized Value: 1.6%

Adjusted Direct Debt as % of Equalized Value: 1.1%

Amortization of Principal (10 years): 74.7%

Post-sale General Obligation Debt Outstanding: \$83.3 million

The principal methodology used in rating the long term bonds was General Obligation Bonds Issued by U.S. Local Governments published in October 2009. The principal methodology used in rating the short term notes was Bond Anticipation Notes and Other Short-Term Capital Financings published in May 2007. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for a copy of these methodologies.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com).

Please see [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

#### **Analysts**

Nicholas Lehman  
Lead Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Robert Weber  
Additional Contact  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

#### **Contacts**

Journalists: (212) 553-0376  
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
USA



© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH**

**PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.